

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/214, —	868 01/14/	99 EIPEL —	H 47114 EXAMINER
1101 00	WEINKAUF NNECTICUT AV TON DC 20036	IM22/0716 E NW	ARTONIEXANDERAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILŒ∯∄
			07/16/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or pr ceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/214,868	EIPEL ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lyle A Alexander	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final rej	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	•		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	· · · ·	=	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exar	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	· \	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		//	<u>i</u>
·*		LYLE A. ALE PRIMARY EX	XANDER XAMINER
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/214,868

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant state the preliminary amendment filed 1/14/99 contains the claims that should have been examined. The Office has supplied a copy of the 1/14/99 preliminary amendment and notes it does not contain any subject matter that has not been fully and properly considered by the Office..